arXiv:1603.06902v2 [math.GR] 1 Sep 2016

POLYHEDRAL PRODUCTS AND COMMUTATOR SUBGROUPS OF RIGHT-ANGLED ARTIN AND COXETER GROUPS

TARAS PANOV AND YAKOV VERYOVKIN

To the memory of Rainer Vogt

Abstract. We construct and study polyhedral product models for classifying spaces of right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups, general graph product groups and their commutator subgroups. By way of application, we give a criterion of freeness for the commutator subgroup of a graph product group, and provide an explicit minimal set of generators for the commutator subgroup of a right-angled Coxeter group.

1. Introduction

Right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups are familiar objects in geometric group theory [Da2]. From the abstract categorical viewpoint, they are particular cases of *graph product groups*, corresponding to a sequence of m groups $\boldsymbol{G}=(G_1,\dots,G_m)$ and a graph Γ on m vertices. Informally, the graph product group $\boldsymbol{G}^\Gamma$ consists of words with letters from $G_1,\dots,G_m$ in which the elements of G_i and G_j with $i\neq j$ commute whenever $\{i,j\}$ is an edge of Γ. The graph product group $\boldsymbol{G}^\Gamma$ interpolates between the free product $G_1\star\cdots\star G_m$ (corresponding to a graph consisting of m disjoint vertices) and the cartesian product $G_1\times\cdots\times G_m$ (corresponding to a complete graph). Right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups RA_Γ and RC_Γ correspond to the cases $G_i=\mathbb{Z}$ and $G_i=\mathbb{Z}_2$, respectively.

The *polyhedral product* is a functorial combinatorial-topological construction assigning a topological space $(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}}$ to a sequence of m pairs of topological spaces $(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{A})=\{(X_1,A_1),\dots,(X_m,A_m)\}$ and a simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}$ on m vertices [BP1, BBCG, BP2]. It generalises the notion of a *moment-angle complex* $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{K}}=(D^2,S^1)^{\mathcal{K}}$, which is a key object of study in toric topology. Polyhedral products also provide a unifying framework for several constructions of classifying spaces for right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups, their commutator subgroups, as well as general graph products groups. The description of the classifying spaces of graph product groups and their commutator subgroups was implicit in [PRV], where the canonical homotopy fibration

$$(E\boldsymbol{G},\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}\longrightarrow(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}\longrightarrow\prod_{k=1}^{m}BG_k.$$

of polyhedral products was introduced and studied.

To each graph Γ without loops and double edges one can assign a *flag* simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}$, whose simplices are the vertex sets of complete subgraphs (or *cliques*) of Γ. For any flag complex $\mathcal{K}$ the polyhedral product $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ is the classifying

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20F65, 20F12, 57M07.

Key words and phrases. Right-angled Artin group, right-angled Coxeter group, graph product, commutator subgroup, polyhedral product.

The research of the first author was carried out at the IITP RAS and supported by the Russian Science Foundation grant no. 14-50-00150. The research of the second author was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research grant no. 14-01-00537.

space for the corresponding graph product group $\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}} = \boldsymbol{G}^{\Gamma}$, while $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ is the classifying space for the commutator subgroup of $\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}$. In the case of right-angled Artin group $RA_\Gamma = RA_{\mathcal{K}}$, each $BG_i = B\mathbb{Z}$ is a circle, so we obtain as $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ the subcomplex $(S^1)^{\mathcal{K}}$ in an m-torus introduced by Kim and Roush in [KR]. In the case of right-angled Coxeter group $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$, each $BG_i = B\mathbb{Z}_2$ is an infinite real projective space $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$, so the classifying space for $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a similarly defined subcomplex $(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^{\mathcal{K}}$ in the m-fold product of $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$. The classifying space for the commutator subgroup of $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a finite cubic subcomplex $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}$ in an m-dimensional cube, while the classifying space for the commutator subgroup of $RA_{\mathcal{K}}$ is an infinite cubic subcomplex $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ in the m-dimensional cubic lattice. All these facts are summarised in Theorem 3.2 and Corollaries 3.3 and 3.4.

The emphasis of [PRV] was on properties of graph products of topological (rather than discrete) groups, as part of the homotopy-theoretical study of toric spaces and their loop spaces. In the present work we concentrate on the study of the commutator subgroups for discrete graph product groups. Apart from a purely algebraic interest, our motivation lies in the fact that the commutator subgroups of graph products are the fundamental groups of very interesting aspherical spaces. From this topological perspective, right-angled Coxeter groups $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$ are the most interesting. The commutator subgroup $RC'_{\mathcal{K}}$ is $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}})$ for a finite-dimensional aspherical complex $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}$, which turns out to be a manifold when $\mathcal{K}$ is a simplicial subdivision of sphere. When $\mathcal{K}$ is a cycle (the boundary of a polygon) or a triangulated 2-sphere, one obtains as $RC'_{\mathcal{K}}$ a surface group or a 3-manifold group respectively. These groups have attracted much attention recently in geometric group theory and low-dimensional topology. The manifolds $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}$ corresponding to (the dual complexes of) higher-dimensional *permutahedra* and *graph-associahedra* also feature as the *universal realisators* in the works of Gaifullin [Ga1], [Ga2] on the problem of realisation of homology classes by manifolds.

In Theorem 4.3 we give a simple criterion for the commutator subgroup of a graph product group to be free. In the case of right-angled Artin groups this result was obtained by Servatius, Droms and Servatius in [SDS]. In Theorem 4.5 we provide an explicit minimal generator set for the finitely generated commutator subgroup of a right-angled Coxeter group $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$. This generator set consists of nested iterated commutators of the canonical generators of $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$ which appear in a special order determined by the combinatorics of $\mathcal{K}$.

Theorems 4.3 and Theorem 4.5 parallel the corresponding results obtained in [GPTW] for the loop homology algebras and rational homotopy Lie algebras of *moment-angle complexes*. Algebraically, these results of [GPTW] can be interpreted as a description of the commutator subalgebra in a special graph product graded Lie algebra (see Theorem 4.6). The results of Section 4 in the current paper constitute a group-theoretic analogue of the results of [GPTW] for graded associative and Lie algebras.

We dedicate this article to the memory of Rainer Vogt, who shared his great knowledge and ideas with T. P. during insightful collaboration in the 2000s.

The authors are grateful to Alexander Gaifullin for his invaluable comments and suggestions, which much helped in making the text more accessible.

2. Preliminaries

We consider a finite ordered set $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and its subsets $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset [m]$, where I can be empty of the whole of $[m]$.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an (abstract) *simplicial complex* on $[m]$, i. e. $\mathcal{K}$ is a collection of subsets of $[m]$ such that for any $I \in \mathcal{K}$ all subsets of I also belong to $\mathcal{K}$. We always assume that the empty set $\varnothing$ and all one-element subsets $\{i\} \subset [m]$ belong to $\mathcal{K}$. We

refer to $I \in \mathcal{K}$ as a *simplex* (or a *face*) of $\mathcal{K}$. One-element faces are *vertices*, and two-element faces are *edges*. Every abstract simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}$ has a *geometric realisation* $|\mathcal{K}|$, which is a polyhedron in a Euclidean space (a union of convex geometric simplices). In all subsequent constructions it will be useful to keep in mind the geometric object $|\mathcal{K}|$ alongside with the abstract collection $\mathcal{K}$.

We recall the construction of the polyhedral product (see [BP1, BBCG, BP2]).

Construction 2.1 (polyhedral product)**.** Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ and let

$$(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A}) = \{(X_1, A_1), \dots, (X_m, A_m)\}$$

be a sequence of m pairs of pointed topological spaces, $pt \in A_i \subset X_i$, where pt denotes the basepoint. For each subset $I \subset [m]$ we set

$$(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^I = \big\{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \prod_{k=1}^{m} X_k \colon\ x_k \in A_k \quad \text{for } k \notin I\big\} \tag{2.1}$$

and define the *polyhedral product* of $(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})$ corresponding to $\mathcal{K}$ as

$$(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}} = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K}} (\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^I = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K}} \Big(\prod_{i \in I} X_i \times \prod_{i \notin I} A_i\Big).$$

In the case when all pairs (X_i, A_i) are the same, i. e. $X_i = X$ and $A_i = A$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, we use the notation $(X, A)^{\mathcal{K}}$ for $(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}}$. Also, if each $A_i = pt$, then we use the abbreviated notation $\boldsymbol{X}^{\mathcal{K}}$ for $(\boldsymbol{X}, pt)^{\mathcal{K}}$, and $X^{\mathcal{K}}$ for $(X, pt)^{\mathcal{K}}$.

This construction of the polyhedral product has the following categorical interpretation. Consider the *face category* $\textsc{cat}(\mathcal{K})$, whose objects are simplices $I \in \mathcal{K}$ and morphisms are inclusions $I \subset J$. Let $\textsc{top}$ denote the category of topological spaces. Define a $\textsc{cat}(\mathcal{K})$-diagram (a covariant functor from the small category $\textsc{cat}(\mathcal{K})$ to the "large" category $\textsc{top}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A}) \colon \textsc{cat}(\mathcal{K}) &\longrightarrow \textsc{top}, \\ I &\longmapsto (\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^I, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

which maps the morphism $I \subset J$ of $\textsc{cat}(\mathcal{K})$ to the inclusion of spaces $(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^I \subset (\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^J$. Then we have

$$(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}} = \operatorname{colim} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A}) = \operatorname*{colim}_{I \in \mathcal{K}} (\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^I. \tag{2.3}$$

Here colim denotes the *colimit functor* (also known as the *direct limit functor*) from the category of $\textsc{cat}(\mathcal{K})$-diagrams of topological spaces to the category $\textsc{top}$. By definition, colim is the left adjoint to the constant diagram functor. The details of these constructions can be found, e. g., in [BP2, Appendix C].

Given a subset $J \subset [m]$, consider the restriction of $\mathcal{K}$ to J:

$$\mathcal{K}_J = \{I \in \mathcal{K} \colon I \subset J\},$$

which is also known as a *full subcomplex* of $\mathcal{K}$. Recall that a subspace $Y \subset X$ is called a *retract* of X if there exists a continuous map $r \colon X \to Y$ such that the composition $Y \hookrightarrow X \xrightarrow{r} Y$ is the identity. We record the following simple property of the polyhedral product.

Proposition 2.2. *$(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}_J}$ is a retract of $(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}}$ whenever $\mathcal{K}_J \subset \mathcal{K}$ is a full subcomplex.*

Proof. We have

$$(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}} = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K}} \Big(\prod_{i \in I} X_i \times \prod_{i \in [m] \setminus I} A_i\Big), \quad (\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}_J} = \bigcup_{I \in \mathcal{K},\, I \subset J} \Big(\prod_{i \in I} X_i \times \prod_{i \in J \setminus I} A_i\Big).$$

Since each A_i is a pointed space, there is a canonical inclusion $(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}_J} \hookrightarrow (\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}}$. Furthermore, for each $I \in \mathcal{K}$ there is a projection

$$r_I\colon \prod_{i\in I} X_i \times \prod_{i\in[m]\setminus I} A_i \longrightarrow \prod_{i\in I\cap J} X_i \times \prod_{i\in J\setminus I} A_i.$$

Since $\mathcal{K}_J$ is a full subcomplex, the image of r_I belongs to $(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}_J}$. The projections r_I patch together to give a retraction $r=\bigcup_{I\in\mathcal{K}} r_I\colon (\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}} \to (\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{A})^{\mathcal{K}_J}$. □

The following examples of polyhedral products feature throughout the paper.

Example 2.3.

1. Let $(X,A)=(S^1,pt)$, where S^1 is a circle. The corresponding polyhedral product $(S^1)^{\mathcal{K}}$ is a subcomplex in the m-torus $(S^1)^m$:

$$(S^1)^{\mathcal{K}} = \bigcup_{I\in\mathcal{K}} (S^1)^I \subset (S^1)^m. \tag{2.4}$$

In particular, when $\mathcal{K}=\{\varnothing,\{1\},\dots,\{m\}\}$ (which is m disjoint points geometrically), the polyhedral product $(S^1)^{\mathcal{K}}$ is the wedge $(S^1)^{\vee m}$ of m circles.

When $\mathcal{K}$ consists of all proper subsets of $[m]$ (which geometrically corresponds to the boundary $\partial\Delta^{m-1}$ of an $(m-1)$-dimensional simplex), $(S^1)^{\mathcal{K}}$ is known as the *fat wedge* of m circles; it is obtained by removing the top-dimensional cell from the standard cell decomposition of an m-torus $(S^1)^m$.

For a general $\mathcal{K}$ on m vertices, $(S^1)^{\mathcal{K}}$ sits between the m-fold wedge $(S^1)^{\vee m}$ and the m-fold cartesian product $(S^1)^m$.

2. Let $(X,A)=(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathbb{Z}$ is the set of integer points on a real line $\mathbb{R}$. We denote the corresponding polyhedral product by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}} = (\mathbb{R},\mathbb{Z})^{\mathcal{K}} = \bigcup_{I\in\mathcal{K}} (\mathbb{R},\mathbb{Z})^I \subset \mathbb{R}^m. \tag{2.5}$$

When $\mathcal{K}$ consists of m disjoint points, $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a grid in m-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^m$ consisting of all lines parallel to one of the coordinate axis and passing though integer points. When $\mathcal{K}=\partial\Delta^{m-1}$, the complex $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the union of all integer hyperplanes parallel to coordinate hyperplanes.

3. Let $(X,A)=(\mathbb{R}P^\infty,pt)$, where $\mathbb{R}P^\infty$ is an infinite-dimensional real projective space, which is also the classifying space $B\mathbb{Z}_2$ for the 2-element cyclic group $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Consider the polyhedral product

$$(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^{\mathcal{K}} = \bigcup_{I\in\mathcal{K}} (\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^I \subset (\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^m. \tag{2.6}$$

Similarly to the first example above, $(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^{\mathcal{K}}$ sits between the m-fold wedge $(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^{\vee m}$ (corresponding to $\mathcal{K}$ consisting of m points) and the m-fold cartesian product $(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^m$ (corresponding to $\mathcal{K}=\Delta^{m-1}$).

4. Let $(X,A)=(D^1,S^0)$, where D^1 is a closed interval (a convenient model is the segment $[-1,1]$) and S^0 is its boundary, consisting of two points. The polyhedral product $(D^1,S^0)^{\mathcal{K}}$ is known as the *real moment-angle complex* [BP1, §3.5], [BP2] and is denoted by $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}$:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}} = (D^1,S^0)^{\mathcal{K}} = \bigcup_{I\in\mathcal{K}} (D^1,S^0)^I. \tag{2.7}$$

It is a cubic subcomplex in the m-cube $(D^1)^m=[-1,1]^m$. When $\mathcal{K}$ consists of m disjoint points, $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the 1-dimensional skeleton of the cube $[-1,1]^m$. When $\mathcal{K}=\partial\Delta^{m-1}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the boundary of the cube $[-1,1]^m$. In general, if $\{i_1,\dots,i_k\}$ is a face of $\mathcal{K}$, then $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}$ contains 2^{m-k} cubic faces of dimension k which lie in the k-dimensional planes parallel to the $\{i_1,\dots,i_k\}$th coordinate plane.

The space $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$ was introduced and studied in the works of Davis [Da1] and Davis–Januszkiewicz [DJ], although their construction was different. When $|\mathcal{K}|$ is homeomorphic to a sphere, $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$ is a topological manifold (this follows from the results of [Da1], see also [BP2] and [Ca, Theorem 2.3]). Furthermore, the manifold $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$ has a smooth structure when $|\mathcal{K}|$ is the boundary of a convex polytope. In this case $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$ is the *universal abelian cover* of the dual simple polytope P [DJ, §4.1].

The four polyhedral products above are related by the two homotopy fibrations [PRV], [BP2, §4.3]

$$\mathcal{L}_\mathcal{K} \longrightarrow (S^1)^\mathcal{K} \longrightarrow (S^1)^m, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^\mathcal{K} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^m. \tag{2.9}$$

Construction 2.4 (right-angled Artin and Coxeter group)**.** Let Γ be a graph on m vertices. We write $\{i,j\} \in \Gamma$ when $\{i,j\}$ is an edge. Denote by $F(g_1,\dots,g_m)$ a free group with m generators corresponding to the vertices of Γ. The *right-angled Artin group* RA_Γ corresponding to Γ is defined by generators and relations as follows:

$$RA_\Gamma = F(g_1,\dots,g_m)/(g_ig_j = g_jg_i \text{ for } \{i,j\} \in \Gamma). \tag{2.10}$$

When Γ is a complete graph we have $RA_\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}^m$, while when Γ has no edges we obtain the free group.

The *right-angled Coxeter group* RC_Γ is defined as

$$RC_\Gamma = F(g_1,\dots,g_m)/(g_i^2 = 1,\ g_ig_j = g_jg_i \text{ for } \{i,j\} \in \Gamma). \tag{2.11}$$

Both right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups have a categorical interpretation similar to that of polyhedral products (see (2.3)). Namely, consider the following $\textsc{cat}(\mathcal{K})$-diagrams, this time in the category GRP of groups:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Z})\colon \textsc{cat}(\mathcal{K}) &\longrightarrow \textsc{grp}, \qquad I \longmapsto \mathbb{Z}^I, \\ \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Z}_2)\colon \textsc{cat}(\mathcal{K}) &\longrightarrow \textsc{grp}, \qquad I \longmapsto \mathbb{Z}_2^I, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}^I = \prod_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2^I = \prod_{i\in I}\mathbb{Z}_2$. A morphism $I \subset J$ of $\textsc{cat}(\mathcal{K})$ is mapped to the monomorphism of groups $\mathbb{Z}^I \to \mathbb{Z}^J$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2^I \to \mathbb{Z}_2^J$ respectively. Then

$$\begin{aligned} RA_{\mathcal{K}^1} &= \operatorname{colim}^{\textsc{grp}} \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Z}) = \operatorname{colim}^{\textsc{grp}}_{I\in\mathcal{K}} \mathbb{Z}^I, \\ RC_{\mathcal{K}^1} &= \operatorname{colim}^{\textsc{grp}} \mathcal{D}_\mathcal{K}(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \operatorname{colim}^{\textsc{grp}}_{I\in\mathcal{K}} \mathbb{Z}_2^I, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where $\mathcal{K}^1$ denotes the 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{K}$, which is a graph. Here $\operatorname{colim}^{\textsc{grp}}$ denotes the colimit functor in GRP.

A *missing face* (or a *minimal non-face*) of $\mathcal{K}$ is a subset $I \subset [m]$ such that I is not a simplex of $\mathcal{K}$, but every proper subset of I is a simplex of $\mathcal{K}$. A simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}$ is called a *flag complex* if each of its missing faces consists of two vertices. Equivalently, $\mathcal{K}$ is flag if any set of vertices of $\mathcal{K}$ which are pairwise connected by edges spans a simplex.

A *clique* (or a *complete subgraph*) of a graph Γ is a subset I of vertices such that every two vertices in I are connected by an edge. Each flag complex $\mathcal{K}$ is the *clique complex* of its one-skeleton $\Gamma = \mathcal{K}^1$, that is, the simplicial complex formed by filling in each clique of Γ by a face.

Note that the colimits in (2.12), being the corresponding right-angled groups, depend only on the 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{K}$ and do not depend on missing faces with more than 2 vertices. For example, the colimits of the diagrams of groups $\mathcal{D}_{\Delta^2}(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\partial\Delta^2}(\mathbb{Z})$ are both $\mathbb{Z}^3$. This reflects the lack of "higher" commutativity in the category of groups: when generators g_i commute pairwise, they commute altogether. This phenomenon is studied in more detail in [PRV] and [PR].

For these reasons we denote the right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups corresponding to the 1-skeleton of $\mathcal{K}$ simply by $RA_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$ respectively.

By analogy with the polyhedral product of spaces $\boldsymbol{X}^{\mathcal{K}} = \operatorname{colim}_{I\in\mathcal{K}} \boldsymbol{X}^I$, we may consider the following more general construction of a discrete group.

Construction 2.5 (graph product)**.** Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a simplicial complex on $[m]$ and let $\boldsymbol{G} = (G_1, \dots, G_m)$ be a sequence of m groups, which we think of as discrete topological groups. We also assume that none of G_i is trivial, i. e. $G_i \neq \{1\}$. For each subset $I \subset [m]$ we set

$$\boldsymbol{G}^I = \big\{(g_1, \dots, g_m) \in \prod_{k=1}^m G_k \colon\ g_k = 1 \quad \text{for } k \notin I\big\}.$$

Then consider the following $\mathrm{CAT}(\mathcal{K})$-diagram of groups:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}(\boldsymbol{G})\colon \mathrm{CAT}(\mathcal{K}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GRP}, \qquad I \longmapsto \boldsymbol{G}^I,$$

which maps a morphism $I \subset J$ to the canonical monomorphism of groups $\boldsymbol{G}^I \to \boldsymbol{G}^J$. Define the group

$$\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}} = \operatorname{colim}^{\mathrm{GRP}} \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}(\boldsymbol{G}) = \operatorname{colim}^{\mathrm{GRP}}_{I\in\mathcal{K}} \boldsymbol{G}^I. \tag{2.13}$$

The group $\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}$ depends only on the graph $\mathcal{K}^1$ and is called the *graph product* of the groups $G_1, \dots, G_m$. We have canonical homomorphisms $\boldsymbol{G}^I \to \boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}$, $I \in \mathcal{K}$, which can be shown to be injective.

As in the case of right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups (corresponding to $G_i = \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_i = \mathbb{Z}_2$ respectively), one readily deduces the following more explicit description from the universal property of the colimit:

Proposition 2.6. *The is an isomorphism of groups*

$$\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}} \cong \bigstar_{k=1}^m G_k \big/ (g_i g_j = g_j g_i \ \textit{for}\ g_i \in G_i,\ g_j \in G_j,\ \{i,j\} \in \mathcal{K}),$$

where $\bigstar_{k=1}^m G_k$ *denotes the free product of the groups* G_k*.*

Remark. We use the symbol $\star$ to denote the free product of groups, instead of the more common $*$; the latter is reserved for the join of topological spaces.

3. Classifying spaces

Here we collect the information about the classifying spaces for graph product groups. The results of this section are not new, but as they are spread across the literature we find it convenient to collect everything in one place. The corresponding references are given below.

Recall that a path-connected space X is *aspherical* if $\pi_i(X) = 0$ for $i \geqslant 2$. An aspherical space X is an Eilenberg–Mac Lane space $K(\pi, 1)$ with $\pi = \pi_1(X)$.

Given a (discrete) group G, there is a *universal G-covering* $EG \to BG$ whose total space EG is contractible and the base BG, known as the *classifying space* for G, has the homotopy type $K(G, 1)$ (i. e. $\pi_1(BG) = G$ and $\pi_i(BG) = 0$ for $i \geqslant 2$). We shall therefore switch between the notation BG and $K(G, 1)$ freely.

Note that $B\mathbb{Z} \simeq S^1$ and $B\mathbb{Z}_2 \simeq \mathbb{R}P^\infty$, with the universal coverings $\mathbb{R} \to S^1$ and $S^\infty \to \mathbb{R}P^\infty$ respectively.

Now we use the notation from Construction 2.5. The classifying space $B\boldsymbol{G}^I$ is the product of BG_i over $i \in I$. We therefore have the polyhedral product $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ corresponding to the sequence of pairs $(B\boldsymbol{G}, pt) = \{(BG_1, pt), \dots, (BG_m, pt)\}$. Similarly, we have the polyhedral product $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ corresponding to the sequence of pairs $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G}) = \{(EG_1, G_1), \dots, (EG_m, G_m)\}$. Here each G_i is included in EG_i as the fibre of the covering $EG_i \to BG_i$ over the basepoint.

The homotopy fibrations (2.8) and (2.9) can be generalised as follows.

Proposition 3.1. *The sequence of canonical maps*

$$(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}} \longrightarrow (B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}} \longrightarrow \prod_{k=1}^{m} BG_k$$

is a homotopy fibration.

When each G_k is $\mathbb{Z}$, we obtain the fibration (2.8), as the pair $(E\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ is homotopy equivalent to $(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{Z})$. Similarly, when each G_k is $\mathbb{Z}_2$, we obtain (2.9), as the pair $(E\mathbb{Z}_2, \mathbb{Z}_2)$ is homotopy equivalent to (D^1, S^0).

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We denote $\prod_{k=1}^{m} BG_k$ by $B\boldsymbol{G}^{[m]}$; this is compatible with the notation $B\boldsymbol{G}^I$. According to [PRV, Proposition 5.1], the homotopy fibre of the inclusion $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}} \to B\boldsymbol{G}^{[m]}$ can be identified with the homotopy colimit $\operatorname{hocolim}_{I\in\mathcal{K}} \boldsymbol{G}^{[m]}/\boldsymbol{G}^I$ of the $\textsc{cat}(\mathcal{K})$-diagram in $\textsc{top}$ given on the objects by $I \mapsto \boldsymbol{G}^{[m]}/\boldsymbol{G}^I$ (where the latter is the quotient group, viewed as a discrete space) and sending a morphism $I \subset J$ to the canonical projection $\boldsymbol{G}^{[m]}/\boldsymbol{G}^I \to \boldsymbol{G}^{[m]}/\boldsymbol{G}^J$ of the quotients. This diagram is not Reedy cofibrant, e. g. because $\boldsymbol{G}^{[m]}/\boldsymbol{G}^I \to \boldsymbol{G}^{[m]}/\boldsymbol{G}^J$ is not a cofibration of spaces. The latter map is homotopy equivalent to the closed cofibration $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^I \to (E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^J$, which is a morphism in the $\textsc{cat}(\mathcal{K})$-diagram $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})$, see (2.2). The diagram $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})$ is Reedy cofibrant, see [BP2, Proposition 8.1.1]. Therefore, the homotopy fibre of the inclusion $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}} \to B\boldsymbol{G}^{[m]}$ is given by

$$\operatorname{hocolim}_{I\in\mathcal{K}} \boldsymbol{G}^{[m]}/\boldsymbol{G}^I \simeq \operatorname{colim}_{I\in\mathcal{K}} (E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^I = (E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}. \qquad \square$$

Now we state the following group-theoretic consequence of the homotopy fibration in Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a simplicial complex on m vertices, and let $\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}$ be a graph product group given by* (2.13).

(a) $\pi_1((B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}) \cong \boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}$.
(b) *Both spaces $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ and $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ are aspherical if and only if $\mathcal{K}$ is flag. Hence, $B(\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}) = (B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ whenever $\mathcal{K}$ is flag.*
(c) $\pi_i((B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}) \cong \pi_i((E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}})$ *for* $i \geqslant 2$.
(d) $\pi_1((E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}})$ *is isomorphic to the kernel of the canonical projection* $\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}} \to \prod_{k=1}^{m} G_k$.

Proof. To prove (a) we proceed inductively by adding simplices to $\mathcal{K}$ one by one and use van Kampen's Theorem. The base of the induction is $\mathcal{K}$ consisting of m disjoint points. Then $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ is the wedge $BG_1 \vee \cdots \vee BG_m$, and $\pi_1((B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}})$ is the free product $G_1 \star \cdots \star G_m$. This is precisely $\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}$, so (a) holds. Assume now that $\mathcal{K}'$ is obtained from $\mathcal{K}$ by adding a single 1-dimensional simplex $\{i, j\}$. Then, by the definition of the polyhedral product,

$$(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}'} = (B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}} \cup (BG_i \times BG_j),$$

where the two pieces are glued along $BG_i \vee BG_j$. By van Kampen's Theorem, $\pi_1((B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}'})$ is the amalgamated free product $\pi_1((B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}) \star_{(G_i \star G_j)} (G_i \times G_j)$. The latter group is obtained from $\pi_1((B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}})$ by adding all relations of the form $g_i g_j = g_j g_i$ for $g_i \in G_i$, $g_j \in G_j$. By the inductive assumption, this is precisely $\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}'}$. Adding simplices of dimension $\geqslant 2$ to $\mathcal{K}$ does not change $\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}$ and results in adding cells of dimension $\geqslant 3$ to $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$, which does not change the fundamental group $\pi_1((B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}})$. The inductive step is therefore complete, proving (a).

Now we prove (b). The canonical homomorphisms $\boldsymbol{G}^I \to \boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}$ give rise to the maps of classifying spaces $B\boldsymbol{G}^I \to B(\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}})$. These define a morphism from the $\mathrm{CAT}(\mathcal{K})$-diagram $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{K}}(B\boldsymbol{G}, pt)$ to the constant diagram $B(\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}})$, and hence a map

$$\mathrm{colim}_{I\in\mathcal{K}}\, B\boldsymbol{G}^I = (B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}} \to B(\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}). \tag{3.1}$$

According to [PRV, Proposition 5.1], the homotopy fibre of the map (3.1) can be identified with the homotopy colimit $\mathrm{hocolim}_{I\in\mathcal{K}}\, \boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}/\boldsymbol{G}^I$ of the $\mathrm{CAT}(\mathcal{K})$-diagram in TOP given on the objects by $I \mapsto \boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}/\boldsymbol{G}^I$ (where the latter is the right coset, viewed as a discrete space) and sending a morphism $I \subset J$ to the canonical projection $\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}/\boldsymbol{G}^I \to \boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}/\boldsymbol{G}^J$ of cosets. By [PRV, Corollary 5.4], the homotopy colimit $\mathrm{hocolim}_{I\in\mathcal{K}}\, \boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}/\boldsymbol{G}^I$ is homeomorphic to the identification space

$$\big(B\mathrm{CAT}(\mathcal{K}) \times \boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}\big)/\sim\,. \tag{3.2}$$

Here $B\mathrm{CAT}(\mathcal{K})$ is the classifying space of $\mathrm{CAT}(\mathcal{K})$, which is homeomorphic to the cone on $|\mathcal{K}|$. The equivalence relation $\sim$ is defined as follows: $(x, gh) \sim (x, g)$ whenever $h \in \boldsymbol{G}^I$ and $x \in B(I\downarrow \mathrm{CAT}(\mathcal{K}))$, where $I\downarrow\mathrm{CAT}(\mathcal{K})$ is the *undercategory*, whose objects are $J \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $I \subset J$, and $B(I\downarrow\mathrm{CAT}(\mathcal{K}))$ is homeomorphic to the star of I in $\mathcal{K}$. When $\mathcal{K}$ is a flag complex, the identification space (3.2) is contractible by [PRV, Proposition 6.1]. Therefore, the map (3.1) is a homotopy equivalence, which implies that $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ is aspherical when $\mathcal{K}$ is flag.

Assume now that $\mathcal{K}$ is not flag. Choose a missing face $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_k\} \subset [m]$ with $k \geqslant 3$ vertices and consider the corresponding full subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_J$. Then $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}_J}$ is the fat wedge of the spaces $\{BG_j, j \in J\}$ (see Example 2.3.1), and it is a retract of $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ by Proposition 2.2. Hence, in order to see that $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ is not aspherical, it is enough to check that $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}_J}$ is not aspherical. Let $FW(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ denote the fat wedge of spaces $X_1, \dots, X_k$. According to a result of Porter [Po], the homotopy fibre of the inclusion

$$FW(X_1, \dots, X_k) \hookrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{k} X_i$$

is $\Sigma^{k-1}\Omega X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \Omega X_k$, where Σ denotes the suspension and Ω the loop space functor. In our case we obtain that the homotopy fibre of the inclusion $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}_J} \to \prod_{j\in J} BG_j$ is $\Sigma^{k-1}G_{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge G_{j_k}$. Since each G_j is a discrete space, the latter suspension is a wedge of $(k-1)$-dimensional spheres. It has nontrivial homotopy group π_{k-1}. Since $\prod_{j\in J} BG_j$ is a $K(\pi, 1)$-space, the homotopy exact sequence implies that $\pi_{k-1}((B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}_J}) \neq 0$ for some $k \geqslant 3$. Hence, $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}_J}$ and $(B\boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ are non-aspherical.

Asphericity of $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ and statements (c) and (d) follow from the homotopy exact sequence of the fibration in Proposition 3.1, as $\pi_i(\prod_{k=1}^m BG_k) = 0$, $i \geqslant 2$. □

Specialising to the cases $G_k = \mathbb{Z}$ and $G_k = \mathbb{Z}_2$ respectively we obtain the following results about right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups. Note that in these two cases the groups G_k are abelian, so $\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}} \to \prod_{k=1}^m G_k$ is the abelianisation homomorphism, and its kernel is the commutator subgroup $(\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}})'$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a simplicial complex on m vertices, let $(S^1)^{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the polyhedral products given by* (2.4) *and* (2.5) *respectively, and let $RA_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the corresponding right-angled Artin group.*

(a) $\pi_1((S^1)^{\mathcal{K}}) \cong RA_{\mathcal{K}}$.
(b) *Both $(S^1)^{\mathcal{K}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}}$ are aspherical if and only if $\mathcal{K}$ is flag.*
(c) $\pi_i((S^1)^{\mathcal{K}}) \cong \pi_i(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}})$ *for* $i \geqslant 2$.
(d) $\pi_1(\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{K}})$ *is isomorphic to the commutator subgroup* $RA'_{\mathcal{K}}$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a simplicial complex on m vertices, let $(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$ be the polyhedral products given by* (2.6) *and* (2.7) *respectively, and let $RC_\mathcal{K}$ be the corresponding right-angled Coxeter group.*

(a) $\pi_1((\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^\mathcal{K}) \cong RC_\mathcal{K}$.
(b) *Both $(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$ are aspherical if and only if $\mathcal{K}$ is flag.*
(c) $\pi_i((\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^\mathcal{K}) \cong \pi_i(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K})$ *for $i \geqslant 2$.*
(d) $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K})$ *is isomorphic to the commutator subgroup $RC'_\mathcal{K}$.*

Remark. All ingredients in the proof of Theorem 3.2 are contained in [PRV]. The fact that the polyhedral product $(B\boldsymbol{G})^\mathcal{K}$ is the classifying space for the graph product group $\boldsymbol{G}^\mathcal{K}$ whenever $\mathcal{K}$ is a flag complex implies that the classifying space functor converts the colimit of groups (defining the graph product) to the colimit of topological spaces (defining the polyhedral product). This is not the case when $\mathcal{K}$ is not flag because of the presence of higher Whitehead and Samelson products (see [PRV, PR, GT]), but the situation can be remedied by replacing colimits with homotopy colimits. All these facts were proved in [PRV] for arbitrary well-pointed topological groups.

Statements (a) and (b) of Corollary 3.3, implying a homotopy equivalence $(S^1)^\mathcal{K} \simeq K(RA_\mathcal{K}, 1)$ for flag $\mathcal{K}$, were obtained by Kim and Roush [KR, Theorem 10]. Statements (a) and (b) of Corollary 3.4, implying a homotopy equivalence $(\mathbb{R}P^\infty)^\mathcal{K} \simeq K(RC_\mathcal{K}, 1)$ for flag $\mathcal{K}$, are implicit in the works of Davis [Da1] and Davis–Januszkiewicz [DJ, p. 437]. In particular, contractibility of the space (3.2) (which is the crucial step in the proof of Theorem 3.2 (b)) in the case of right-angled Coxeter group $RC_\mathcal{K}$ follows from [Da1, Theorem 13.5]. The isomorphism between $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K})$ and the commutator subgroup $RC'_\mathcal{K}$ was also obtained in the work of Droms [Dr] (his cubic complex is the 2-dimensional skeleton of our complex $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$, and therefore has the same fundamental group).

In the case of a general graph product $\boldsymbol{G}^\mathcal{K}$, the result that both spaces $(B\boldsymbol{G})^\mathcal{K}$ and $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^\mathcal{K}$ are aspherical if and only if $\mathcal{K}$ is flag appeared in the work of Stafa [St, Theorem 1.1].

Example 3.5. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an m-cycle (the boundary of an m-gon). A simple argument with Euler characteristic shows that $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$ is homeomorphic to a closed orientable surface of genus $(m-4)2^{m-3}+1$ (this observation goes back to a 1938 work of Coxeter, see [BP2, Proposition 4.1.8]). Therefore, the commutator subgroup of the corresponding right-angled Coxeter group $RC_\mathcal{K}$ is a surface group. This example was studied in [SDS] and [Dr].

Similarly, when $|\mathcal{K}| \cong S^2$ (which is equivalent to $\mathcal{K}$ being the boundary of a 3-dimensional simplicial polytope), $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$ is a 3-dimensional manifold. Therefore, the commutator subgroup of the corresponding $RC_\mathcal{K}$ is a 3-manifold group. The fact that 3-manifold groups appear as subgroups in right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups has attracted much attention in the recent literature.

All homology groups are considered with integer coefficients. The homology of $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$ is described by the following result. For the particular case of flag $\mathcal{K}$ it gives a description of the homology of the commutator subgroup $RC'_\mathcal{K}$.

Theorem 3.6 ([BP1], [BP2, §4.5])**.** *For any $k \geqslant 0$, there is an isomorphism*

$$H_k(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}) \cong \bigoplus_{J \subset [m]} \widetilde{H}_{k-1}(\mathcal{K}_J),$$

where $\widetilde{H}_{k-1}(\mathcal{K}_J)$ is the reduced simplicial homology group of $\mathcal{K}_J$.

The cohomology ring structure of $H^*(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K})$ is described in [Ca].

4. The structure of the commutator subgroups

By Theorem 3.2,

$$\mathrm{Ker}\Big(\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}} \to \prod_{k=1}^{m} G_k\Big) = \pi_1((E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}).$$

In the case of right-angled Artin or Coxeter groups (or, more generally, when each G_k is abelian), the group above is the commutator subgroup $(\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}})'$. We want to study the group $\pi_1((E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}})$, identify the class of simplicial complexes $\mathcal{K}$ for which this group is free, and describe a minimal generator set.

We shall need the following modification of a result of Grbić and Theriault [GT]:

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_1 \cup_I \mathcal{K}_2$ be a simplicial complex obtained by gluing $\mathcal{K}_1$ and $\mathcal{K}_2$ along a common face I, which may be empty. If the polyhedral products $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}_1}$ and $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}_2}$ are homotopy equivalent to wedges of circles, then $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ is also homotopy equivalent to a wedge of circles.*

Proof. We may assume that $\mathcal{K}$ has the vertex set $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$, $\mathcal{K}_1$ is the full subcomplex of $\mathcal{K}$ on the first m_1 vertices $\{1, \dots, m_1\}$, $\mathcal{K}_2$ is the full subcomplex of $\mathcal{K}$ on the last m_2 vertices $\{m - m_2 + 1, \dots, m\}$, and the common face I is on the k vertices $\{m_1 - k + 1, \dots, m_1\}$, where $m_1 < m$, $m_2 < m$ and $m = m_1 + m_2 - k$. Consider the polyhedral product $(C\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X})^{\mathcal{K}}$ corresponding to a sequence of pairs $(C\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X}) = \{(CX_1, X_1), \dots, (CX_m, X_m)\}$, where CX_i denotes the cone on X_i. According to [GT, Theorem 6.12],

$$(C\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X})^{\mathcal{K}} \simeq (M_1 * M_2) \vee ((C\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X})^{\mathcal{K}_1} \rtimes M_2) \vee (M_1 \ltimes (C\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{X})^{\mathcal{K}_2}), \tag{4.1}$$

where $M_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{m_1} X_i$, $M_2 = \prod_{i=m-m_2+1}^{m} X_i$, $M_1 * M_2$ denotes the *join* of M_1 and M_2, $X \rtimes Y$ denotes the *right half-smash* $X \times Y/pt \times Y$ of two pointed spaces X, Y, and $X \ltimes Y$ denotes their *left half-smash* $X \times Y/X \times pt$.

In our case, each $X_i = G_i$ is a discrete space, the pair (EG_i, G_i) is homotopy equivalent to (CG_i, G_i), and each of M_1, M_2 in (4.1) is a discrete space. Hence, each of the three wedge summands in (4.1) is a wedge of circles, and so is $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$. □

A graph Γ is called *chordal* (or *triangulated*) if each of its cycles with $\geqslant 4$ vertices has a chord (an edge joining two vertices that are not adjacent in the cycle).

The following result gives an alternative characterisation of chordal graphs.

Theorem 4.2 (Fulkerson–Gross [FG])**.** *A graph is chordal if and only if its vertices can be ordered in such a way that, for each vertex i, the lesser neighbours of i form a clique.*

Such an ordering of vertices is called a *perfect elimination ordering*.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{K}$ be a flag simplicial complex on m vertices, let $\boldsymbol{G} = (G_1, \dots, G_m)$ be a sequence of m nontrivial groups, and let $\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}}$ be the graph product group given by* (2.13)*. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) $\mathrm{Ker}(\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}} \to \prod_{k=1}^{m} G_k)$ *is a free group;*
(b) $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ *is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of circles;*
(c) $\Gamma = \mathcal{K}^1$ *is a chordal graph.*

Proof. (b)⇒(a) This follows from Theorem 3.2 (d) and the fact that the fundamental group of a wedge of circles is free.

(c)⇒(b) Here we use the argument from [GPTW, Theorem 4.6]. However, that argument contained an inaccuracy, which was pointed out by A. Gaifullin and corrected in the argument below.

Assume that the vertices of $\mathcal{K}$ are in perfect elimination order. We assign to each vertex i the clique I_i consisting of i and the lesser neighbours of i. Since $\mathcal{K}$ is a flag complex, each clique I_i is a face. All maximal faces are among $I_1, \dots, I_m$, so we have $\bigcup_{i=1}^m I_i = \mathcal{K}$. Furthermore, for each $k = 1, \dots, m$ the perfect elimination ordering on $\mathcal{K}$ induces such an ordering on the full subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_{\{1,\dots,k-1\}}$, so we have $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} I_i = \mathcal{K}_{\{1,\dots,k-1\}}$. In particular, the simplicial complex $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} I_i$ is flag as a full subcomplex in a flag complex. The intersection $I_k \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} I_i$ is a clique, so it is a face of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} I_i$. An inductive argument using Proposition 4.1 then shows that $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ is a wedge of circles.

(a)⇒(c) Let $\operatorname{Ker}(\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}} \to \prod_{k=1}^m G_k)$ be a free group. Suppose that the graph $\Gamma = \mathcal{K}^1$ is not chordal, and choose a chordless cycle J with $|J| \geqslant 4$. Then the full subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_J$ is the same cycle (the boundary of a $|J|$-gon).

We first consider the case when each G_k is $\mathbb{Z}_2$, so that $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ is $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}_J}$ is homeomorphic to a closed orientable surface of genus $(|J| - 4)2^{|J|-3} + 1$ by [BP2, Proposition 4.1.8]. In particular, the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}_J})$ is not free. On the other hand, $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}_J}$ is a retract of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}$ by Proposition 2.2, so $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}_J})$ is a subgroup of the free group $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}) = \operatorname{Ker}(RC_{\mathcal{K}} \to (\mathbb{Z}_2)^m)$. A contradiction.

Now consider the general case. Note that the pair (EG_k, G_k) is homotopy equivalent to (CG_k, G_k), so we can consider $(C\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$ instead of $(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}}$. Since each G_k is discrete and nontrivial, we may fix an inclusion of a pair of points $S^0 \hookrightarrow G_k$; then there is a retraction $G_k \to S^0$ (it does not have to be a homomorphism of groups). It extends to a retraction of cones, so we have a retraction of pairs $(CG_k, G_k) \to (D^1, S^0)$. These retractions give rise to a retraction of polyhedral products $(C\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}} \to (D^1, S^0)^{\mathcal{K}} = \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}$. Hence, we have a composite retraction $(C\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}} \to \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}} \to \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}_J}$, so $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}_J})$ includes as a subgroup in the free group $\pi_1(E\boldsymbol{G}, \boldsymbol{G})^{\mathcal{K}} = \operatorname{Ker}(\boldsymbol{G}^{\mathcal{K}} \to \prod_{k=1}^m G_k)$. On the other hand, if $\mathcal{K}^1$ contains a chordless cycle J with $|J| \geqslant 4$, then $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}_J})$ is the fundamental group of a surface of positive genus, so it is not free. A contradiction. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let $RA_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the right-angled Artin and Coxeter groups corresponding to a simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}$.*

(a) *The commutator subgroup $RA'_{\mathcal{K}}$ is free if and only if $\mathcal{K}^1$ is a chordal graph.*
(b) *The commutator subgroup $RC'_{\mathcal{K}}$ is free if and only if $\mathcal{K}^1$ is a chordal graph.*

Part (a) of Corollary 4.4 is the result of Servatius, Droms and Servatius [SDS]. The difference between parts (a) and (b) is that the commutator subgroup $RA'_{\mathcal{K}}$ is infinitely generated, unless $RA_{\mathcal{K}} = \mathbb{Z}^m$, while the commutator subgroup $RC'_{\mathcal{K}}$ is finitely generated. We elaborate on this in the next theorem.

Let $(g, h) = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$ denote the group commutator of two elements g, h.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$ be the right-angled Coxeter group corresponding to a simplicial complex $\mathcal{K}$ on m vertices. The commutator subgroup $RC'_{\mathcal{K}}$ has a finite minimal generator set consisting of $\sum_{J \subset [m]} \operatorname{rank} \widetilde{H}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)$ iterated commutators*

$$(g_j, g_i), \quad (g_{k_1}, (g_j, g_i)), \quad \dots, \quad (g_{k_1}, (g_{k_2}, \cdots (g_{k_{m-2}}, (g_j, g_i)) \cdots)), \tag{4.2}$$

where $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_{\ell-2} < j > i$, $k_s \neq i$ for any s, and i is the smallest vertex in a connected component not containing j of the subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_{\{k_1,\dots,k_{\ell-2},j,i\}}$.

Theorem 4.5 is similar to a result of [GPTW] describing the commutator subalgebra of the graded Lie algebra given by

$$L_{\mathcal{K}} = FL\langle u_1, \dots, u_m \rangle \big/ \big([u_i, u_i] = 0,\ [u_i, u_j] = 0 \text{ for } \{i, j\} \in \mathcal{K} \big), \tag{4.3}$$

where $FL\langle u_1, \dots, u_m \rangle$ is the free graded Lie algebra on generators u_i of degree one, and $[a, b] = -(-1)^{|a||b|}[b, a]$ denotes the graded Lie bracket. The commutator

subalgebra is the kernel of the Lie algebra homomorphism $L_{\mathcal{K}} \to CL\langle u_1, \dots, u_m\rangle$ to the commutative (trivial) Lie algebra.

The graded Lie algebra (4.3) is a graph product similar to the right-angled Coxeter group $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$. It has a colimit decomposition similar to (2.13), with each G_i replaced by the trivial Lie algebra $CL\langle u\rangle = FL\langle u\rangle/([u,u]=0)$ and the colimit taken in the category of graded Lie algebras.

Theorem 4.6 ([GPTW, Theorem 4.3])**.** *The commutator subalgebra of the graded Lie algebra $L_{\mathcal{K}}$ has a finite minimal generator set consisting of $\sum_{J\subset[m]} \operatorname{rank}\widetilde{H}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)$ iterated commutators*

$$[u_j,u_i],\quad [u_{k_1},[u_j,u_i]],\quad \dots,\quad [u_{k_1},[u_{k_2},\cdots[u_{k_{m-2}},[u_j,u_i]]\cdots]],$$

where $k_1<k_2<\cdots<k_{\ell-2}<j>i$, $k_s\neq i$ for any s, and i is the smallest vertex in a connected component not containing j of the subcomplex $\mathcal{K}_{\{k_1,\dots,k_{\ell-2},j,i\}}$.

Although the scheme of the proof of Theorem 4.5 is similar to that for Theorem 4.6, more specific techniques are required to work with group commutators, as opposed to Lie algebra brackets. Nevertheless, most of these techniques are quite standard, and can be extracted from the classical texts like [MKS].

Proof of Theorem 4.5. The first part is a standard argument applicable to the commutator subgroup of an arbitrary group. An element of $RC'_{\mathcal{K}}$ is a product of commutators (a,b) with $a,b\in RC_{\mathcal{K}}$. Writing each of a,b as a word in the generators $g_1,\dots,g_m$ and using the Hall identities

$$\begin{aligned}(a,bc)&=(a,c)(a,b)((a,b),c),\\ (ab,c)&=(a,c)((a,c),b)(b,c),\end{aligned}\tag{4.4}$$

we express each element of $RC'_{\mathcal{K}}$ in terms of iterated commutators $(g_{i_1}^{n_{i_1}},\dots,g_{i_\ell}^{n_{i_\ell}})$ with $n_{i_k}\in\mathbb{Z}$ and arbitrary bracketing. Since we have relations $g_i^2=1$ in $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$, we may assume that each n_{i_k} is 1. We refer to $\ell\geqslant 2$ as the *length* of an iterated commutator. If an iterated commutator $(g_{i_1},\dots,g_{i_\ell})$ contains a commutator (a,b) where each of a,b is itself a commutator, then we can remove such $(g_{i_1},\dots,g_{i_\ell})$ from the list of generators by writing (a,b) as a word in shorter commutators a,b and using (4.4) iteratively. We therefore obtain a generators set for $RC'_{\mathcal{K}}$ consisting only of *nested* iterated commutators, i. e. those not containing (a,b) where both a,b are commutators. The next step is to use the identity

$$((a,b),c)=(b,a)(c,(b,a))(a,b)$$

and the identities (4.4) to express each nested commutator in terms of *canonical* nested commutators $(g_{i_1},(g_{i_2},\cdots(g_{i_{\ell-2}},(g_{i_{\ell-1}},g_{i_\ell}))\cdots))$.

The most important part of the proof is to express each canonical nested commutator in terms of canonical nested commutators in which the generators g_i appear in a specific order. This will be done by a combination of algebraic and topological arguments and use the specifics of the group $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$.

We first prove a particular case of the statement, corresponding to $\mathcal{K}$ consisting of m disjoint points. The group $RC_{\mathcal{K}}$ is then a free product of m copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let G be a free product of m copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, given by the presentation*

$$G=F(g_1,\dots,g_m)/(g_i^2=1,\quad i=1,\dots,m).$$

Then the commutator subgroup G' is a free group freely generated by the iterated commutators of the form

$$(g_j,g_i),\quad (g_{k_1},(g_j,g_i)),\quad \dots,\quad (g_{k_1},(g_{k_2},\cdots(g_{k_{m-2}},(g_j,g_i))\cdots)),$$

where $k_1<k_2<\cdots<k_{\ell-2}<j>i$ and $k_s\neq i$ for any s. Here, the number of commutators of length ℓ is equal to $(\ell-1)\binom{m}{\ell}$.

Proof. The statement is clear for $m = 1$ (then $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$) and for $m = 2$ (then $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \star \mathbb{Z}_2$ and $G' \cong \mathbb{Z}$ with generator (g_2, g_1)). For $m = 3$, the lemma says that the commutator subgroup of $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \star \mathbb{Z}_2 \star \mathbb{Z}_2$ is freely generated by

$$(g_2, g_1),\ (g_3, g_1),\ (g_3, g_2),\ (g_1, (g_3, g_2)),\ (g_2, (g_3, g_1)).$$

This is easy to see geometrically, by identifying $RC'_{\mathcal{K}}$ with $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}})$. In our case $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the 1-skeleton of the 3-cube (see Example 2.3.4). We have $(g_1, (g_3, g_2)) = g_1(g_2, g_3)g_1(g_3, g_2)$, $(g_2, (g_3, g_1)) = g_2(g_1, g_3)g_2(g_3, g_1)$, and the elements (g_2, g_1), (g_3, g_1), (g_3, g_2), $g_1(g_2, g_3)g_1$, $g_2(g_1, g_3)g_2$ correspond to the loops around five different faces of $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{K}}$, which freely generate its fundamental group.

The general statement for arbitrary m can be proved by a similar topological argument, by identifying G' with the fundamental group of the 1-skeleton of the m-dimensional cube (see [St, Proposition 3.6]). However, we record an algebraic argument for subsequent use. We have the commutator identity

$$(g_q, (g_p, x)) = (g_q, x)(x, (g_p, g_q))(g_q, g_p)(x, g_p)(g_p, (g_q, x))(x, g_q)(g_p, g_q)(g_p, x), \tag{4.5}$$

which can be deduced from the Hall–Witt identity, or checked directly. Note that if x is a canonical nested commutator, then the factor $(x, (g_p, g_q))$ can be expressed via nested commutators as in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 4.5. In this case we can use (4.5) to swap g_p and g_q in the commutator $(g_q, (g_p, x))$, by expressing it through $(g_p, (g_q, x))$ and canonical nested commutators of lesser length.

In the subsequent arguments, we shall swap elements in an iterated commutator. Such a swap will change the element of the group represented by the commutator, but the two elements will always differ by a product of commutators of lesser length, as in the case of $(g_p, (g_q, x))$ and $(g_q, (g_p, x))$ in the argument above. If we want to swap elements g_p and g_q inside a canonical nested commutator of the form $(\cdots, (g_p, (g_q, x)) \cdots)$, where x is a smaller canonical nested commutator, then we need to use the first identity of (4.4) alongside with (4.5). Note that if both b and c in the identity $(a, bc) = (a, c)(a, b)((a, b), c)$ are canonical nested commutators, then (a, c) and (a, b) are also canonical nested commutators, while $((a, b), c) = (b, a)c^{-1}(a, b)c$ is a product of nested commutators of lesser length.

Therefore, using (4.5) together with the identities (4.4) we can change the order of any two generators in a commutator $(g_{i_1}, \cdots (g_{i_{\ell-2}}, (g_{i_{\ell-1}}, g_{i_\ell})) \cdots)$ within the positions i_1 to $i_{\ell-2}$. We first use this observation to eliminate commutators $(g_{i_1}, \cdots (g_{i_{\ell-2}}, (g_{i_{\ell-1}}, g_{i_\ell})) \cdots)$ which contain a pair of repeating generators g_i (i. e. have $i_p = i_q$ for some $p \neq q$). Namely, if the repeating pair occurs within the positions i_1 to $i_{\ell-2}$, then we use (4.5) to reduce the commutator to the form $(\cdots (g_i, (g_i, x)) \cdots)$, where $x = (g_{i_{\ell-1}}, g_{i_\ell})$, and use the relation $(g_i, (g_i, x)) = (g_i, x)(g_i, x)$ to reduce the commutator to a product of commutators of lesser length. (Note that here we use the relation $g_i^2 = 1$ in G.) If one of the repeating generators is on the position $i_{\ell-1}$ or i_ℓ, then we use (4.5) to reduce the commutator to the form $(\cdots (g_i, (g_i, g_j)) \cdots)$ and use the relation $(g_i, (g_i, g_j)) = (g_i, g_j)(g_i, g_j)$. As a result, we obtain a generator set for G' consisting of commutators $(g_{i_1}, \cdots (g_{i_{\ell-2}}, (g_{i_{\ell-1}}, g_{i_\ell})) \cdots)$ with all different g_i. This already shows that G' is finitely generated.

Now we use (4.5) to put the generators g_i in $(g_{i_1}, \cdots (g_{i_{\ell-2}}, (g_{i_{\ell-1}}, g_{i_\ell})) \cdots)$ in an order. Choose the generator g_{i_k} with the largest index i_k. If it is not within the last three positions, then we use (4.5) to move it to the third-to-last position. The case $m = 3$ considered above shows that the commutator $(g_j, (g_i, g_k))$ can be expressed through $(g_i, (g_j, g_k))$, $(g_k, (g_j, g_i))$ and commutators of lesser length. This allows us to move the generator g_{i_k} with the largest index i_k in $(g_{i_1}, \cdots (g_{i_{\ell-2}}, (g_{i_{\ell-1}}, g_{i_\ell})) \cdots)$ to the second-to-last position, and set $j = i_k$. Then we use (4.5) and (4.4) to put the first $\ell - 2$ generators in the commutator in an increasing order, and redefine

their indices as $k_1 < \cdots < k_{\ell-2}$. As a result, we obtain a generator set for G' consisting of commutators of the required form $(g_{k_1}, (g_{k_2}, \cdots (g_{k_{\ell-2}}, (g_j, g_i)) \cdots))$ where $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_{\ell-2} < j > i$ and $k_s \neq i$ for any s.

It remains to show that the constructed generating set of G' is free. This generating set consists of $N = \sum_{\ell=2}^{m} (\ell - 1)\binom{m}{\ell} = (m-2)2^{m-1} + 1$ commutators. On the other hand, $G' \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$ the 1-skeleton of the m-cube. Then $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$ is homotopy equivalent to a wedge of N circles (as easy to see inductively or by computing the Euler characteristic), and $\pi_1(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K})$ is a free group of rank N. We therefore have a system of N generators in a free group of rank N. This system must be free, by the classical result that a free group of finite rank cannot be isomorphic to its proper quotient group, see [MKS, Theorem 2.13]. □

Now we resume the proof of Theorem 4.5. We shall exclude some commutators $(g_{k_1}, (g_{k_2}, \cdots (g_{k_{\ell-2}}, (g_j, g_i)) \cdots))$ from the generating set using the new commutativity relations.

First assume that j and i are in the same connected component of the complex $\mathcal{K}_{\{k_1,\ldots,k_{\ell-2},j,i\}}$. We shall show that the corresponding commutator $(g_{k_1}, (g_{k_2}, \cdots (g_{k_{\ell-2}}, (g_j, g_i)) \cdots))$ can be excluded from the generating set. We choose a path from i to j, i. e. choose vertices $i_1, \ldots, i_n$ from $k_1, \ldots, k_{\ell-2}$ with the property that the edges $\{i, i_1\}, \{i_1, i_2\}, \ldots, \{i_{n-1}, i_n\}, \{i_n, j\}$ are all in $\mathcal{K}$. We proceed by induction on the length of the path. The induction starts from the commutator $(g_j, g_i) = 1$ corresponding to a one-edge path $\{i, j\} \in \mathcal{K}$. Now assume that the path consists of $n+1$ edges. Using the relation (4.5) we can move the elements $g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}, \ldots, g_{i_n}$ in $(g_{k_1}, (g_{k_2}, \cdots (g_{k_{\ell-2}}, (g_j, g_i)) \cdots))$ to the right and restrict ourselves to the commutator $(g_{i_1}, (g_{i_2}, \cdots (g_{i_n}, (g_j, g_i)) \cdots))$. Observe that in the presence of the commutation relation $(g_p, g_q) = 1$ the identity (4.5) does not contain the factor $(x, (g_p, g_q))$ and therefore it allows us to change the order of g_p and g_q without assuming x to be a commutator. We therefore can convert the commutator $(g_{i_1}, (g_{i_2}, \cdots (g_{i_n}, (g_j, g_i)) \cdots))$ (with $\{i_n, j\} \in \mathcal{K}$) to the commutator $(g_j, (g_{i_1}, \cdots (g_{i_{n-1}}, (g_{i_n}, g_i)) \cdots))$. The latter contains a commutator $(g_{i_1}, \cdots (g_{i_{n-1}}, (g_{i_n}, g_i)) \cdots)$ corresponding to a shorter path $\{i, i_1, \ldots, i_n\}$. By inductive hypothesis, it can be expressed through commutators of lesser length, and therefore excluded from the set of generators.

We therefore obtain a generator set for $RC'_\mathcal{K}$ consisting of nested commutators $(g_{k_1}, \cdots (g_{k_{\ell-2}}, (g_j, g_i)) \cdots)$ with j and i in different connected components of the complex $\mathcal{K}_{\{k_1,\ldots,k_{\ell-2},j,i\}}$. Consider commutators $(g_{k_1}, \cdots (g_{k_{\ell-2}}, (g_j, g_{i_1})) \cdots)$ and $(g_{k'_1}, \cdots (g_{k'_{\ell-2}}, (g_j, g_{i_2})) \cdots)$ such that $\{k_1, \ldots, k_{\ell-2}, j, i_1\} = \{k'_1, \ldots, k'_{\ell-2}, j, i_2\}$ and i_1, i_2 lie in the same connected component of $\mathcal{K}_{\{k_1,\ldots,k_{\ell-2},j,i_1\}}$ which is different from the connected component containing j. We claim that one of these commutators can be expressed through the other and commutators of lesser length. To see this, we argue as in the previous paragraph, by considering a path in $\mathcal{K}_{\{k_1,\ldots,k_{\ell-2},j,i_1\}}$ between i_1 and i_2, and then reducing it inductively to a one-edge path. This leaves us with the pair of commutators $(g_{i_2}, (g_j, g_{i_1}))$ and $(g_{i_1}, (g_j, g_{i_2}))$ where $\{i_1, i_2\} \in \mathcal{K}$, $\{i_1, j\} \notin \mathcal{K}$, $\{i_2, j\} \notin \mathcal{K}$. The claim then follows easily from the relation $(g_{i_1}, g_{i_2}) = 1$ (compare the case $m = 3$ of Lemma 4.7).

Thus, to enumerate independent commutators, we use the convention of writing $(g_{k_1}, \cdots (g_{k_{\ell-2}}, (g_j, g_i)) \cdots)$ where i is the smallest vertex in its connected component within $\mathcal{K}_{\{k_1,\ldots,k_{\ell-2},j,i\}}$. This leaves us with precisely the set of commutators (4.2). It remains to show that this generating set is minimal. For this we once again recall that $RC'_\mathcal{K} = \pi_1(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K})$. The first homology group $H_1(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K})$ is isomorphic to $RC'_\mathcal{K}/RC''_\mathcal{K}$, where $RC''_\mathcal{K}$ is the commutator subgroup of $RC'_\mathcal{K}$. On the other

hand, we have

$$H_1(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}) \cong \bigoplus_{J\subset[m]} \widetilde{H}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)$$

by Theorem 3.6. Hence, the number of generators in the abelian group $H_1(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}) \cong RC'_\mathcal{K}/RC''_\mathcal{K}$ is $\sum_{J\subset[m]} \operatorname{rank} \widetilde{H}_0(\mathcal{K}_J)$. The latter number agrees with the number of iterated commutators in the set (4.2), as $\operatorname{rank} \widetilde{H}_0(\mathcal{K})$ is one less the number of connected components of $\mathcal{K}$. □

Example 4.8.

1. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be the simplicial complex  on four vertices. Then the commutator subgroup $RC'_\mathcal{K}$ is free, and Theorem 4.5 gives the following free generators:

$$(g_3, g_1),\ (g_4, g_1),\ (g_4, g_2),\ (g_4, g_3),$$
$$(g_2, (g_4, g_1)),\ (g_3, (g_4, g_1)),\ (g_1, (g_4, g_3)),\ (g_3, (g_4, g_2)),$$
$$(g_2, (g_3, (g_4, g_1))).$$

2. Let $\mathcal{K}$ be an m-cycle with $m \geqslant 4$ vertices. Then $\mathcal{K}^1$ is not a chordal graph, so the group $RC'_\mathcal{K}$ is not free. One can see that $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$ is an orientable surface of genus $(m-4)2^{m-3}+1$ (see Example 3.5), so $RC'_\mathcal{K} \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K})$ is a one-relator group.

When $m = 4$, we get a 2-torus as $\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K}$, and Theorem 4.5 gives the generators $a_1 = (g_3, g_1)$ and $b_1 = (g_4, g_2)$. The single relation is obviously $(a_1, b_1) = 1$. For $m \geqslant 5$ we do not know the explicit form of the single relation in the surface group $RC'_\mathcal{K} \cong \pi_1(\mathcal{R}_\mathcal{K})$ in terms of the generators provided by Theorem 4.5. Compare [Ve], where the corresponding problem is studied for the commutator subalgebra of the graded Lie algebra from Theorem 4.6.

References

[BBCG] Anthony Bahri, Martin Bendersky, Frederic R. Cohen, and Samuel Gitler. *The polyhedral product functor: a method of computation for moment-angle complexes, arrangements and related spaces.* Adv. Math. **225** (2010), no. 3, 1634–1668.

[BP1] Victor Buchstaber and Taras Panov. *Torus actions, combinatorial topology and homological algebra.* Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **55** (2000), no. 5, 3–106 (Russian). Russian Math. Surveys **55** (2000), no. 5, 825–921 (English).

[BP2] Victor Buchstaber and Taras Panov. *Toric Topology.* Math. Surv. and Monogr., 204, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2015.

[Ca] Li Cai. *On products in a real moment-angle manifold.* Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan, to appear; arXiv:1410.5543.

[Da1] Michael W. Davis. *Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by Euclidean space.* Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), no. 2, 293–324.

[Da2] Michael W. Davis. *The geometry and topology of Coxeter groups.* London Math. Soc. Monographs Series, 32. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2008.

[DJ] Michael W. Davis and Tadeusz Januszkiewicz. *Convex polytopes, Coxeter orbifolds and torus actions.* Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), no. 2, 417–451.

[Dr] Carl Droms. *A complex for right-angled Coxeter groups.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 8, 2305–2311.

[FG] D. R. Fulkerson and O. A. Gross. *Incidence matrices and interval graphs.* Pacific J. Math **15** (1965), 835–855.

[Ga1] Alexander Gaifullin. *Universal realisators for homology classes.* Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), no. 3, 1745–1772.

[Ga2] Alexander Gaifullin. *Small covers of graph–asociahedra and realisation of cycles.* Mat. Sbornik **207** (2016) (Russian); Sbornik Math. **207** (2016) (English translation, in this volume).

[GPTW] Jelena Grbić, Taras Panov, Stephen Theriault and Jie Wu. *Homotopy types of moment-angle complexes for flag complexes.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 9, 6663–6682.

[GT] Jelena Grbić and Stephen Theriault. *Homotopy theory in toric topology.* Russian Math. Surveys **71** (2016), no. 2.

[KR] Ki Hang Kim and Fred W. Roush. *Homology of certain algebras defined by graphs.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **17** (1980), no. 2, 179–186.

[MKS] Wilhelm Magnus, Abraham Karrass and Donald Solitar. *Combinatorial group theory. Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations.* Second revised edition. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1976.

[PR] Taras Panov and Nigel Ray. *Categorical aspects of toric topology.* In: *Toric Topology*, M. Harada *et al.*, eds. Contemp. Math., 460. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008, pp. 293–322.

[PRV] Taras Panov, Nigel Ray and Rainer Vogt. *Colimits, Stanley–Reiner algebras, and loop spaces.* In: *Categorical decomposition techniques in algebraic topology (Isle of Skye, 2001)*. Progress in Math., 215, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004, pp. 261–291.

[Po] Gerald J. Porter. *The homotopy groups of wedges of suspensions.* Amer. J. Math. **88** (1966), 655–663.

[SDS] Herman Servatius, Carl Droms and Brigitte Servatius. *Surface subgroups of graph groups.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1989), no. 3, 573–578.

[St] Mentor Stafa. *On the fundamental group of certain polyhedral products.* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **219** (2015), no. 6, 2279–2299.

[Ve] Yakov Veryovkin. *Pontryagin algebras of some moment-angle-complexes.* Dal'nevost. Mat. Zh. (2016), no. 1, 9–23 (in Russian); arXiv:1512.00283.

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Moscow State University, Leninskie Gory, 119991 Moscow, Russia,
Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia *and*
Institute for Information Transmission Problems, Russian Academy of Sciences
E-mail address: tpanov@mech.math.msu.su
URL: http://higeom.math.msu.su/people/taras/

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, Moscow State University, Leninskie Gory, 119991 Moscow, Russia,
Steklov Mathematical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences
E-mail address: verevkin_j.a@mail.ru